

GUIDELINES TO PROTECT AND ENHANCE *EUCALYPTUS LEUCOXYLON* AND *EUCALYPTUS FASCICULOSA* WOODLANDS



MARCH 2005
Peter Tucker



Copyright © Trees For Life Inc 2005

All copyright subsisting under the *Copyright Act 1968 (Commonwealth)* resides with Trees For Life Inc. Subject to the *Copyright Act*, the written consent of Trees For Life is to be obtained before reproduction, copying or transmission of the copyright material in any form or by any means. Such written consent may include a requirement for appropriate acknowledgment of Trees For Life.

Trees For Life encourages community organisations to discuss not-for-profit use of this material for community environmental activities by phoning 08 8372 0150 or e-mail: info@treesforlife.org.au

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Numerous people have contributed personal and technical knowledge to the preparation of these guidelines.

My thanks to,

Andrew Allanson Bush For Life Co-ordinator, Trees For Life.

Janet Pedler Biodiversity Manager, Trees For Life.

Tony Randall Project Officer, Goolwa to Wellington Local Action Planning Board.

Steve Coombe Project Officer, Eastern Hills and Murray Plains Catchment Group.

Danielle O'Neill Office Manager, Trees For Life.



CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Introduction	5
Description of a Vegetation Community	6
Where Blue and Pink Gum Woodlands are Found	7
Why Blue and Pink Gum Woodlands Are Important	8
Threats	9
Management of Woodlands	13
Weed Management in Remnant Vegetation	13
Principles	13
Techniques	15
Prioritising Weeds Species and Actions	19
Weed Action Plan/Schedule	22
Herbivore Management	23
Feral Predators	24
Revegetation	25
Property Enhancement for Native Fauna	28
References	30
Appendices	31
Major Vegetation Structural Formations in South Australia	32
Fauna List	33
Mammals	33
Birds	33
Reptiles	34



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

These guidelines were written to provide assistance to biodiversity assessors and landholders who wish to manage *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands in an ecologically sensitive manner.

Few high quality examples of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands remain. Remnants are more likely to occur south east and north west of Lake Alexandrina within the Coorong LAP district, however a few highly degraded examples were found as roadside vegetation near the Rockleigh area within the Eastern Hills and Murray Plains LAP.

Information is primarily targeted at existing stands of remnant *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands. Some information is provided regarding revegetation and establishing a woodland on previously cropped or mechanically disturbed ground, however restoration, natural regeneration and conservation of existing native vegetation is far more efficient ecologically and economically than revegetation. It is also more respectful of existing native vegetation.



INTRODUCTION

These guidelines were written at the request of the Goolwa to Wellington, Murray Mallee and Eastern Hills and Murray Plains Local Action Planning (LAP) groups identified the importance of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands within their boundaries and specifically within the Coorong LAP area.

The guidelines are designed to assist local biodiversity assessors to tailor management options for individual sites and landholders. These guidelines have also been written in a manner that can be directly utilised by discerning landholders.

While written for *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands these guidelines are equally applicable to other woodland communities within the region.


These guidelines should go some way towards encouraging biodiversity assessors and landholders to manage their *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands in a more sensitive manner.



DESCRIPTION OF VEGETATION COMMUNITY

Vegetation Community refers to the assemblage of plants occurring in a given location, and can be described using Specht's Major Vegetation Structural Formations in South Australia (Croft, Pedler and Milne 2005).

Each description is based on the height and projected foliage cover of the tallest dominant layer of vegetation; in this case *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum). Sometimes the dominant understorey is also included in the description. In a woodland the understorey could range from numerous shrub species to grasses.



Figures 1, 2 & 3. Left and middle, *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) flower buds are large and in groups of three, fruits are in groups of three or less. Right, *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) flowers and fruits generally in groups of seven crowded together to form larger clusters of flowers.

Technically, a *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland would be one where the tree layer is 10 – 30m high and the overall projected foliage cover is 10 – 30% with both species in approximately the same proportion throughout the woodland.

In reality few locations within the study area would contain *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) in this range. In some areas the height would be less than 10m and the projected foliage cover would drop to 1 – 10%. These communities would formally be referred to as *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) Low Open Woodland. For the purpose of this project any occurrence of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) will be considered to be a woodland.

These guidelines are equally applicable to woodlands, which contain other tree species including *Allocasuarina verticillata* (drooping sheoak), *Callitris gracilis* (southern cypress pine) or other eucalypt species.

WHERE BLUE AND PINK GUM WOODLANDS ARE FOUND

The location of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands within the area covered by these guidelines are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The distribution must be extrapolated from the overlap of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) woodlands and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands. Floristics data from the Department for Environment and Heritage does not show any remaining examples of the mixed woodland. This is probably a result of how the data were collected and it is likely that any remaining *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands are too small to be identified by the Department's methodology. This in itself demonstrates the importance of protecting what we have left.

Occurrences would be limited to the north west of Lake Alexandrina and a smaller area to the south east. Additionally, some small remnants exist in the Rockleigh area. Highly degraded examples of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands were found as roadside vegetation in this area during the writing of these guidelines. Many remnants may now only occur as roadside vegetation and isolated paddock trees.




Figure 4. Distribution of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) woodlands.




Figure 5. Distribution of *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands.



Figure 6. Highly degraded *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland on roadside near Rockleigh.

WHY BLUE AND PINK GUM WOODLANDS ARE IMPORTANT

On a national basis temperate woodlands have experienced some of the most dramatic and spectacular examples of landscape and ecosystem collapse with thousands of hectares of woodland being affected by tree dieback and secondary salinity (Yates and Hobbs 2000).

In South Australia only 18% of original temperate woodlands remain in the agricultural regions, predominantly in small blocks with only approximately 5% reserved for conservation. The remaining 13% being highly degraded and threatened by farm management practices and by secondary affects such as dieback and senescence (Paton *et al* 2000).

Clearly, this vegetation community is important purely for its conservation value, but other reasons exist. Like much remnant vegetation, *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands are also important for,

- habitat for fauna, particularly declining woodland bird species
- habitat for threatened plants
- refugia of biodiversity
- genetic reservoirs and



THREATS

A number of threats exist to *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland communities throughout the region. Each location will inevitably have its own combination of threats. It is not possible to detail all threats, however a number of the more common threats are listed below (Johnson 2003 and Yates and Hobbs 1997),

- weed invasion
- further clearance, particularly individual trees
- grazing by livestock, feral herbivores and over abundant native species
- over zealous weed control
- road construction and maintenance
- fire wood collection and
- disease and
- isolated and small population sizes

Weed invasion

Remaining examples of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands occur in areas that have been extensively cleared for agriculture. As a result many agricultural weeds and disturbance loving weeds have moved into the remaining examples of these woodlands. There is an additional risk of new weeds moving into these woodlands as new pasture plants are developed or released.



Figure 7. Bridal creeper infestation in a native woodland.

Further clearance

Despite the Native Vegetation Act (1991), clearance of native vegetation still occurs, albeit on a smaller scale, and in many cases possibly due to lack of knowledge. Certain landholder activities can be classified as vegetation clearance, for example burning or grazing regenerating native pasture.

Considering *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands often remain only as scattered trees, applications could be made for their removal for agricultural purposes.

Grazing by livestock, feral herbivores and over abundant native species

It is well established and understood that feral herbivores, particularly rabbits, are a threat to native vegetation. They have the capacity to completely remove certain native species from a location, especially when combined with the pressures of stock grazing and native herbivores such as kangaroos. Ongoing control of feral herbivores is essential to the health of native vegetation.

Over abundant native herbivores such as kangaroos can also be a threat to native vegetation. Management of native animal species requires specialised knowledge to be applied across the landscape and requires co-operation of all landholders and approval from Dept for Environment and Heritage (DEH).

Each landholder should seek professional advice on herbivore control from Animal Plant Control Commission (APCC) or DEH.

Over zealous weed control

Weed control in native vegetation needs to be undertaken in a different manner to that in crops or exotic pasture. The focus needs to be on protecting and enhancing native vegetation rather than on just removing weeds. Over zealous weed control often results in excessive soil disturbance which advantages weed species, or off target damage where native plants are killed as an unintended consequence, for example due to overspray of herbicide or misidentification.



Figure 8. Native shrub killed as off target damage when spraying for onion weed

Road construction and maintenance

Remnant vegetation still exists as roadside vegetation, but is sometimes cleared during road maintenance activities. Road construction and maintenance often leads to an increase in weed abundance due to soil disturbance and introduction of weed seeds on machinery, vehicles and equipment. Diseases of native vegetation can also be introduced in this way for example the soil fungus, *Phytophthora*, is readily transported to new sites by contaminated vehicles.



Figure 9. Damage to roadside due to road maintenance activities.

Fire wood collection

Dead and fallen timber is known to provide critical habitat for a large number of mammals, birds, reptiles and invertebrates. Unfortunately, many people still have the misconception that dead trees and fallen branches are only useful as firewood or should at least be tidied up.

Leaving dead trees and fallen timber is one of the single most important things that can be done to protect our native wildlife.

Disease

Occasionally, native vegetation can suffer from various diseases or other afflictions. Frequently, unhealthy vegetation is a response to many compounding factors, which can't be easily defined. Soil borne diseases may contribute to the poor health of native vegetation. To minimise the risk of introducing or spreading diseases to native vegetation landholders should practice good hygiene principles for their vehicles and equipment ensuring they are clean of dirt and mud and avoiding areas where native vegetation is of poor health. All vehicles and equipment must be cleaned before entering native vegetation and again when leaving.

Isolated and small population sizes

Much of the remaining *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands occur as small remnants or along roadsides. This makes them more susceptible to weed invasion or major disturbance, such as fire, accidental spray drift or road maintenance, which can affect the entire remnant. This can also have negative impacts on existing animal populations, which may not be able to survive or re-colonise after a major disturbance.



Figure 10. Isolated population of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland in a cropped and grazed paddock.

Summary

Some of these threats are simple to resolve, for example fire wood collection, however others require careful consideration before attempting to ameliorate. We must bear in mind that natural systems are highly complex and that any action taken will often result in unintended outcomes. Removing livestock in order to protect native vegetation usually results in an apparent increase in weeds. This is usually because stock often eat weed species which may not be detected until the animals are removed. In this situation the landholder needs to be prepared to provide suitable

resources for treating weeds. Alternatively, it may be better to slowly fence stock out of small areas that can be more easily managed.

Our collective knowledge of how to manage native vegetation has grown significantly over the past decades, but is still an evolving science. We need to be aware that what works in one region may not necessarily work in another. We must be prepared for unexpected outcomes from our management regimes.

Management of threats that can be tackled by individual landholders are covered later in this document. As a general guideline, and after careful consultation, we should only try new techniques in relatively small, degraded areas. It is then much easier to record and monitor outcomes and address any unforeseen problems that arise. Above all, we should not be afraid of getting it wrong and advising others of adverse outcomes. This is the only way we will continue to improve our knowledge of how to manage native vegetation in South Australia.



MANAGEMENT OF WOODLANDS

Eucalyptus leucoxylon (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands may require different management regimes depending on their past, current and future use and their level of degradation. Probably the most important aspect is to ensure changes are well thought through and undertaken slowly, cautiously and with respect for the existing native vegetation. Sometimes doing what appears to be nothing on ground can be a viable management option. Observing the bushland and keeping detailed written records of plants, animals and weather for the first year or two may provide invaluable information for future management.

WEED MANAGEMENT IN REMNANT VEGETATION

When managing weeds in remnant vegetation, at least in the short term, the primary aim is to prevent further degradation. Any remnant vegetation left in South Australia that is not managed will degrade. It must be actively managed. A landholder who prevents further degradation should consider themselves successful. If the condition of the remnant vegetation improves this should be considered a bonus. If the area of vegetation expands this should also be considered a bonus.

PRINCIPLES

As mentioned earlier, weed management in remnant vegetation requires a different approach to that in a crop or garden situation. When bush regeneration principles are put into practice, large areas of bushland can be improved even when time is limited. The larger the area of bushland the more critical bush regeneration principles become.

Weeding efforts should be dictated by the native vegetation not our impatience to create perfect bushland.

Bush regeneration principles should always be followed:

- Work from the good bush outwards,
- Disturb the soil as little as possible and
- Do not over clear weeds.

Detail on how to apply these principles is given below.

Work from the good bush outward

This principle ensures we start from the best quality bushland and work towards the weedier areas. The reasoning for this approach is to ensure good quality bushland remains in good quality by denying weeds the opportunity to set seed and become established. It is much more efficient to manage good bushland than degraded bushland.


For this reason, when working in bushland it is best to concentrate on removing smaller populations of weeds and work towards larger infestations. Once larger infestations are reached, a weed front should be established with work continuing along the weed front. Work should only advance into the infestation once native vegetation has replaced the weeds. Over time the infestation will diminish and become much more manageable.

If we forget this and focus our energy on large infestations we won't see the smaller populations of weeds, ie 1 or 2 plants, remote from an infestation. As we spend more and more time on the infestation, controlling regrowth, seedlings and other weeds that take their place, these smaller populations grow into infestations in their own right. They also spread seed further and



therefore degrade more areas of good bushland. Put simply, we allow good bushland to degrade. By spending time eliminating the small outlier populations we can ensure bushland does not degrade. The infestation can be contained and then eventually removed.

Figure 11. Treat outliers in good bushland first (foreground), then consolidate and establish weed fronts.



Sometimes it is necessary to utilise a technique called spot regeneration. Spot regeneration is aimed at enhancing the process of natural regeneration. This technique is used when a small valuable patch or single valuable plant is surrounded by weeds and therefore threatened. In this situation weed control activities are centred on enhancing the survival chances of targeted native species. Weeds can be carefully removed over time from the edges of a patch, slowly expanding outward creating a weed front. In any situation it is important not to over clear weeds as this can result in unsustainable levels of follow-up weed control. Often, when large infestations are removed the resultant regrowth of weeds can be extremely difficult to control.

Disturb the soil as little as possible

This does not necessarily mean zero disturbance. Some level of disturbance is unavoidable, however the goal should be to cause as little disturbance as possible. The reason for minimising disturbance is to lessen the opportunity for disturbance loving weeds, such as salvation Jane and cape weed, to become established. These weeds are greatly advantaged by soil disturbance.



Figure 12. Proliferation of salvation Jane after soil disturbance.

Do not over clear weeds

A common problem when people begin weeding in remnant bushland is over clearance of weeds. It is difficult to curb our enthusiasm for killing weeds, but essential. Weeds should only be cleared at a pace where native vegetation can re-colonise an area. In bushland the emphasis is on native plants not necessarily weeds. A simple question to ask yourself is, “which native plant(s) am I benefiting?” If there are no or very few native plants present you are over clearing.

Over clearance of weeds results in the need for unsustainable levels of follow-up work to control re-growth of the weeds treated, their seedlings or other unexpected weeds that move into the void. To prevent this, work should begin in the highest quality bushland and continue toward an infestation. Once an infestation has been reached and a weed front established, work should continue along the weed front, even it results in the weed front wandering and meandering for hundreds of metres. Do not over clear weeds. Working into weed fronts often results in soil disturbance and the opportunity for other weeds to take over. The deeper we go into a weed front the less native plants are present. The few natives that do exist are unable to fill the void created by excessive weed removal and, as nature abhors a vacuum, the empty spaces will be filled by more weeds.

In this way very large areas can be managed effectively.

TECHNIQUES

Weeds should be removed using minimum disturbance techniques and include,

- Hand pulling
- Wiping foliage
- Hand spraying
- Cut and swab
- Drill and fill
- Slashing

Note: where practical, non-herbicide options should be used in preference to herbicides when dealing with weeds near creeks, drainage lines and dams as herbicide can be toxic to aquatic wildlife. If herbicide use is considered to be unavoidable then one which is considered to be of lower toxicity to aquatic wildlife must be used. Weedmaster Duo and Roundup Biactive are two products considered to be of lower toxicity to aquatic wildlife. Any addition of surfactant to a herbicide will make it harmful to aquatic wildlife.



Hand pulling

This is a technique that is very useful in small areas, particularly around delicate or threatened plant species, where it is very easy for off target damage to occur, ie native plants accidentally killed by the overspray of weed species. This technique is not suitable on a paddock scale.

Hand pulling needs to be done carefully to ensure no soil is removed or disturbed. Many bushland weeds flourish when soil is disturbed. Appropriate removal can be achieved by placing one hand flat on the ground with the weed between two fingers. As the hand is pressed toward the ground the second hand can carefully remove the weed. If soil is disturbed it should be tamped back in place to minimise the opportunity for another weed to become established. The same principle is used when removing slightly larger weeds like young pine trees. In this situation the weed can be removed by hand with minimal disturbance by placing a foot either side of the stem base, bending the knees and using the legs to pull the plant out gradually. Pulling weeds by hand should only be done when soil is relatively moist. If the soil is too dry, it will be excessively disturbed or the plant may break away from the roots resulting in regrowth from roots left in the ground. When soil is dry, weeds should be cut and swabbed using the method described later in this section.

Wiping foliage

A Weedbrush is extremely useful where isolated broadleaf weeds occur in good quality vegetation. The Weedbrush is light and easy to carry when walking in bushland and weeds can be treated immediately, avoiding the need to return and relocate the weed at a later time. It is also useful when there is high risk of off target damage, eg broadleaf weeds occurring amongst native grasses and lilies.

When using the Weedbrush, 280ml of clean water is mixed with 70ml concentrated Glyphosate. Directions are clearly labelled on the Weedbrush. A small amount of dye marker should also be added. The brush is wiped onto the foliage of individual weeds.

Spraying

Herbicide spraying is often the most efficient method of removing herbaceous weeds. Before using herbicide appropriate safety equipment and training must be undertaken to minimise harm to the operator.

Numerous herbicides are available from local hardware shops, however each herbicide has advantages and disadvantages depending on required results and area to be treated.

Irrespective of which herbicide is used, all people to come in contact with the herbicide must read the label to ensure they are familiar with dosage rates and safety requirements. It should be noted that very little information is available on the long term effects of herbicides. Caution should always be used.

Great care needs to be taken as it is easy for off target damage to occur when spraying. Before any spraying takes place the areas should be competently searched for native species. When identified they should be marked to ensure they are not sprayed. Sometimes herbicides may be available that will have limited effect of the native species concerned, ie a broadleaf herbicide may be suitable amongst certain native grasses.



Clearly, as the quality of bushland improves and becomes more diverse, there will be a corresponding decrease in opportunities for herbicide spraying, at least on a broad scale. Manual knapsack or hand held spraying may still be acceptable.

Note: It is generally inappropriate to spray larger woody weeds in remnant vegetation. Unacceptably high levels of off target damage usually occur due to spray drifting onto native plants or dripping from the woody weed. Sometimes native plants are entwined in woody weeds.

Note: Spraying should not be undertaken in close proximity to any delicate or threatened species, to ensure these plants do not become victims of off target damage.

Further information on appropriate chemical use and safety should be obtained by contacting your local Weed Officer or Watershed Protection Office.

Cut and Swab

This technique is often used when a weed is too large or the soil too dry for hand pulling. This method is best carried out by two people. One cuts the stem close to the ground, the second quickly applies concentrated Glyphosate, or other appropriate herbicide, to the exposed stump. The herbicide needs to be applied immediately, as some weeds begin sealing wounds within seconds, therefore reducing the absorption and effectiveness of herbicide.



Figures 13 and 14. Cut and swab herbicide applicator and its use in the field.


Drill and Fill

The Drill and Fill technique is very useful for larger woody weeds. The drill and fill method can be used on any woody weeds that have a base 4cm in diameter or larger. A cordless drill is used to drill a steep angled hole into the plant's cambium layer (where sap flows just beneath the bark layer). The hole is then immediately filled with concentrated Glyphosate. This is repeated every 2.5 – 5cm until the base of the plant has been circled. The plant drops its leaves within 6 weeks and dies within a few months. It will be necessary to monitor the plant and if it resprouts, the process will need to be repeated. The soil beneath large woody weeds usually contains huge numbers of seeds from the parent plant. These seeds will germinate and if left untreated

will become a worse problem than the original plant. It is essential that follow-up control of seedlings is undertaken in subsequent years. Considerable time can be saved by doing this work the following autumn as most seedlings will not survive the hot, dry summer months.

Note: if the woody weed is a deciduous species, such as desert ash, it can only be drilled and filled while the plant is active, ie spring and summer. As temperatures decrease toward winter deciduous species become dormant and drilling and filling will be ineffective at this time.





Figures 15 and 16. Base of olive drilled and filled and, left, four weeks after treatment

There are a number of ecological advantages in using the drill and fill technique over cut and swab. Primarily, habitat structure remains in place. It is possible that birds and other animals utilise the structure provided by these weeds for shelter. The drill and fill technique allows nesting birds to continue raising their young as the weed dies. Cut and swab would result in an abandoned nest. Retaining the structure will give native animals time to re-locate to new areas that provide suitable shelter.



Figure 17. Dead African boxthorn providing habitat for bearded dragon.

Slashing

Slashing can be useful for treating annual weed grasses and preparing rank perennial weed grasses for spraying. Annual grasses should be high slashed (10cm above ground) before seed heads start to develop, typically in late winter and may require follow-up slashing after 2-4 weeks. Depending on the year and rainfall received it may be necessary to follow-up slash several times. As they are annuals, preventing the production of seed will ensure their seed bank will diminish with time. When using this method, care needs to be taken to minimise damage to herbaceous native plants. Slashing can be undertaken using a ride on mower or tractor driven slasher that is free of weed seeds, a brushcutter, or in sensitive areas, hedge shears. Slashing should be done before the annual grasses become tall as studies have shown that the resulting thatch left on the ground can inhibit native plants. The choice of technique will be largely defined by the quality of the bushland and size of area to be slashed.

Note: when slashing with machinery it is essential the equipment is clean and completely free of weed seeds. Mechanical slashing is frequently responsible for the introduction of new weeds or further spread of existing weeds.

Perennial grasses can be slashed at any time of year, however best results will be obtained during winter and spring. Once slashed, the grasses should be allowed 3 - 4 weeks to develop lush growth and then sprayed. Herbicides usually work best when plants are healthy and actively growing. By removing dry stems and forcing the plant to put on new growth the uptake of herbicide will be improved and resultant success rate will be greatly improved.

Davies (1997) has cautioned that in certain situations slashing may result in an increase of certain broad leaved weeds such as *Plantago lanceolata* (ribwort). Undertaking slashing along weed fronts will minimise their establishment and make follow-up control easier.

PRIORITISING WEED SPECIES AND ACTIONS

When developing a weeding strategy a number of factors should be considered including,

- Population size of weed species
- Potential of the weed to change ecological structure
- Dispersal agent of weed species
- Invasiveness of weed species
- Weed species' life cycle; time to maturity
- Time of year
- Local climate and weather conditions currently experienced.
- Weed habitat value for native fauna

Each site will be different and strategies will need to be developed for individual properties or paddocks.

Population size of weed species

Highest priority should always be given to new weeds that have not been found on the property or paddock before. It is much easier and more effective to remove a single weed that appears innocuous than it is to remove an infestation of the same weed ten years later. Weeds currently impose a \$4 – 5 billion cost to the Australian economy (McFadyen 2005). Similarly, it is better to remove a weed with a small population than it is an infestation. For example if a woodland is infested with African boxthorn (*Lycium ferocissimum*), but a few plants of bridal creeper (*Asparagus asparagoides*) are found at the base of a tree it is far more beneficial to eradicate the bridal creeper than to ignore it and achieve only small reductions to the African boxthorn infestation. Bridal creeper is a Weed of National Significance and can rapidly invade high quality bushland.

When an infestation is to be treated, outlying sub-populations should be removed before the main infestation is tackled, to prevent expansion of the overall population. Efforts can then be put toward containment of the main population and eventual elimination.

Potential of the weed to change ecological structure

Next priority should be those weeds that have capacity to change the physical structure of a woodland. Remembering that woodlands should have widely spaced trees, a weed that can create dense or impenetrable thickets must be given high priority. Clearly, weeds such as African boxthorn, gorse and olive fit this description.



The potential of some weeds may be less obvious, such as bridal creeper. This weed may not create impenetrable thickets, but it does have a very dense root and tuber system, which effectively forms an impenetrable thicket underground. Even tree species are unable to germinate and grow amongst this root system.

Dispersal agent of weed species

The ability of a weed to move through a landscape is partially governed by how it is spread. Many bushland weeds require some form of disturbance to become established. Weeds that produce fleshy fruits that are eaten, and therefore spread, by birds and foxes can appear in high quality bushland where no adult plants are present. This range of weeds should be targeted as soon as possible. It is beneficial to control these weeds on nearby roadsides and neighbouring properties.

Invasiveness of weed species

Some species have greater invasive potential than others. Priority should be given to controlling highly invasive species over less invasive species, for example it is more important to remove African weed orchid (often referred to as monadenia) (*Monadenia bracteata*) than soursob (*Oxalis pes-caprae*) even though soursob may cover a large area. It is important to remove or at least contain the more invasive species.

Time to maturity

The time required for a seedling to grow and produce seed is another factor that can be considered when developing a weeding strategy. Ideally weeds should be treated before they produce seed and have a chance to spread further. Many woody weeds take between 18 months and 5 years to produce seed. By understanding the growing cycle of a particular weed we are able to develop better strategies for their removal. For example African boxthorn usually reaches maturity after 2 years. This means that after initial treatment of mature plants a landholder will have up to 2 years to do the necessary follow up work. This large window of opportunity means the landholder can work in another part of the bushland or target a different weed species.

Time of Year

Different weeds are better treated at different times of the year. Knowing a plant's growth cycle or greatest susceptibility to weed control techniques can be used to develop a weeding strategy. This can then be used to target different weeds at different times spreading weed control over 12 months, often allowing much larger areas to be worked on.

Generally, herbaceous weeds should be treated at break of season through to early spring. Treatment of bulbous weeds tends to be more successful during flowering, usually spring. Woody weeds can often be treated at anytime of year, but due to work loads and other weeding requirements it is usually beneficial to leave their treatment until late spring/summer. Caution should still be used at these times as conditions may not be suitable. Plants have to be actively growing for herbicide to be effective. Toward the end of summer and early autumn or on particularly hot days woody weeds can begin to shut down and herbicide treatment may be less effective. Similarly, deciduous woody weeds begin to go into dormancy in autumn, therefore treatment will have little effect at this time. Deciduous woody weeds should be treated in spring/early summer.



Local climate and weather conditions currently experienced

When prioritising actions for weed control landholders need to be flexible in their approach and utilise their observation skills. Most people are aware that weather systems in South Australia are far from regular or reliable. We may have several years of below average rainfall followed by a year of above average rainfall. Usually rain falls predominantly in winter, but in some years we can receive heavy rainfall in summer. Many weeds respond dramatically to these unforeseen fluctuations.

It may be necessary to change planned activities to suit weather conditions. For example, there is no point undertaking woody weed control using herbicide if the land is in drought conditions and woody weeds have shut down. Plants must be actively growing for herbicides to be effective. Prolonged summer rain may mean shifting from woody weed control to broad leaved weed control to prevent salvation Jane population explosions.

Weed habitat value for native fauna

In some situations certain woody weeds could be the only source of shelter for native fauna, particularly if a native shrub layer does not exist, as in the edge of a paddock. Caution is required before removing woody weeds in this instance. Careful observation will be required to determine if native fauna are using the weeds. If present, weed control should be undertaken in a staged manner over several years or until native vegetation can replace the weeds. If native vegetation does not show signs of regeneration after 2 – 3 years it may be necessary to plant appropriate tubestock. Choosing a weed control technique that leaves the weed standing may be more appropriate. Caution and accurate record keeping are the most important aspects to successful weed control and bush regeneration.



WEED ACTION PLAN / SCHEDULE

Following is a general guide for a weed action schedule. Activities may vary from time to time depending on the previously mentioned variables. It is important to ensure the activities are achievable and that landholders do not become slaves to weed control. Weed control activities may be done in short 30 minute bursts or by allocating one day a month specifically for weed control in remnant bushland or some other format that suits the individual landholder.

It is also important to build in easy obtainable goals. For example, if there is only a small number of African boxthorn or olive that can be easily eradicated target those weeds first. It can be very rewarding and empowering for a landholder to get some early wins.

- SUMMER** Mature woody weed control – drill and fill or cut and swab. Avoid hand pulling weeds over summer as soil will be too dry.
- WET SUMMER** Check for germination of broad leaved weeds and treat as necessary. Woody weeds may need to be left until later in the season or the following summer. Check for any new weeds not encountered on the site before.
- AUTUMN** Cut and swab woody weed seedlings & juveniles that survived summer. Drill and fill mature woody weeds. Check for and remove isolated occurrences of new weeds.
- WINTER** Spray or hand pull emerging weeds, especially broad leaved weeds. Slash perennial weed grasses in preparation for spot spraying in 4 – 6 weeks. In mid winter slash annual weed grasses (may require follow up slashing in 2 – 4 weeks). Late winter, spray herbaceous weeds, especially bridal creeper if present.
- SPRING** Spring can be a very busy time of year and it is important not to become over committed to weed control. Rationally think through what is achievable and target areas where the greatest gains can be made for bush regeneration, usually including the most invasive or aggressive weeds first.
- Spray bridal creeper, preferably when flowering, but anytime is acceptable. Spray or hand pull herbaceous weeds. Spray previously slashed perennial weed grasses. Check for establishment of any new weeds. Drill and fill woody weeds, especially if they are deciduous.
- ALL YEAR ROUND** Take time to enjoy the bushland you have, it should not become a burden. Evaluate techniques and timing and note changes as necessary for the following year.



HERBIVORE MANAGEMENT

A range of herbivores can have negative impacts on native vegetation and restoration projects. Whatever the species of herbivore(s) any control method must be undertaken in a humane and ethical manner. Professional advice should be sought to ensure the most up to date methods are employed.

Rabbits / Hares

The negative impact to native vegetation due to rabbits and to a lesser degree hares is well known throughout South Australia. They have the capacity to drastically alter a landscape and prevent natural regeneration of native species. They also compete with native animals for food and shelter.

The release of the rabbit calici virus has done much to reduce the impact of rabbits on the South Australian landscape, particularly in the drier areas of the state. Unfortunately, like all biological controls, it has not eliminated the problem. It is still essential for landholders and land managers to undertake rabbit control on their properties. Even a low level of rabbits can dramatically impact on native species.

A number of options are available for rabbit control depending on time of year and location of rabbits. All warrens, both active and old, need to be destroyed and regularly monitored to prevent other rabbits or foxes re-opening the warrens.

One of the most humane methods of rabbit and warren control is to use explosives to implode the warren. A qualified professional must be used for this task. The explosive blast will instantly kill all rabbits in a warren and destroy the warren at the same time. This prevents other rabbits from re-opening old warrens and foxes from digging to gain access to the dead rabbits. In remnant bushland it has the major advantage of eliminating rabbits and warrens with minimal soil disturbance.

Note: it is essential to ensure native animals are not using the warrens. Goannas, sleepy lizards, snakes and echidnas are known to frequent rabbit burrows. Sweeping the entrance to a warren and checking tracks left will determine the occupants of the warren. It may take several days of checking to ensure reptiles are not using warrens, particularly in winter. If there is any doubt, alternative methods should be used.

Alternative methods of rabbit control are available and landholders should contact the APCC to obtain the most the appropriate technique for their requirements and a list of suitable professionals for the job. Wherever possible, the technique that results in the least disturbance should be taken. Baited grain runs applied from a vehicle should be avoided as these usually result in increased weeds due to soil disturbance.

Hares are more difficult to control as they do not create burrows. Hares do not reach the same numbers as rabbits and are generally less of a problem. Of course, if rabbits are removed and food sources increase hares or other herbivores will increase correspondingly. Advice should be sought from APCC for the most appropriate hare control.

Kangaroos

Kangaroos can be an impediment to natural regeneration when their numbers are excessively high. Feral and domestic herbivores must be controlled before attempting to control



kangaroos. Discouraging kangaroos by preventing access to water where possible may be all that is required to prevent over grazing of native bushland.

If this fails it may be necessary to address over abundant kangaroo numbers. Approval must be obtained from the Department for Environment and Heritage. Additional advice should be sought from the local APCC.

Snails

In some years snails can be highly damaging to native vegetation and crops. Control is usually undertaken by laying baits, however this can lead to considerable off target damage to native lizards and birds. Baiting snails should only be used as a last resort. Monitoring will be essential and removal of dead snails from bait stations will go some way to limiting off target damage to native animals.

Corellas and Galahs

In some locations corellas and galahs will pull out seedlings. There is evidence to suggest they are attracted to tree guards, particularly milk cartons. The best option is to rely on natural regeneration and avoid planting. If this is unavoidable, it may be necessary to use robust corflute tree guards.

FERAL PREDATORS

Foxes

It is well known that foxes have a serious impact on our native wildlife. After vegetation clearance many ecologists attribute the decline of much of our native fauna to fox predation. Not only do foxes hunt and kill native wildlife they also compete with many for food. Foxes are omnivorous and will often eat fruits and berries. They are also involved in the spread of many weeds via their faeces, for example African boxthorn and blackberry.

To be effective fox control needs to be undertaken with a landscape perspective. It requires the co-operation of all landholders within a landscape and needs to be undertaken over a long time period. It is rarely effective if only one landholder undertakes fox control. As soon as fox control ceases neighbouring foxes will move into the area. Fox control can still be effective on a single property, particularly if a specific outcome is identified, ie protection of a particular bird species during their breeding season. Further advice should be obtained from Animal and Plant Control Commission.

Cats

Feral cats are known to hunt numerous native birds, reptiles and small animals. Considerable research over many years has tried to find an effective method to control feral cats. To date the only effective method has been to fence a given area with cat proof fences and systematically shoot cats within the fence. Cat proof fencing may also inhibit the natural movement of native faunal species. The main problem with controlling feral cats on a large scale appears to be due to their reluctance to take baits. Further advice should be obtained from Animal and Plant Control Commission.



REVEGETATION

It is widely accepted by most ecologists that blocks of native vegetation are more useful to wildlife than narrow strips, ie roadside vegetation. Similarly, large blocks of vegetation are more useful than smaller scattered patches. Linear strips and small patches of bushland have many edges compared to core habitat. These edges are often degraded and more likely to have a higher proportion of weeds. Paton (2001) suggests that in cleared areas revegetation should cover at least 10 hectares to accommodate home ranges of declining bird species.

Revegetation should aim to increase the size of existing patches of native vegetation. Linking existing remnants or providing stepping stones through the landscape should be of next importance. Linking existing remnants requires substantial commitment from landholders. Links should be as wide as possible, not less than 50m. Barrett (2000) has demonstrated that narrow links or corridors of only 10m width rarely have any benefit to declining bird species and often further threaten these species because narrow corridors tend to encourage over abundant and aggressive birds such as noisy miners.

Any revegetation must be undertaken in a well thought out and considered manner. It would be worthwhile knowing if neighbouring landholders intend to undertake revegetation, where they intend to do it and what size. This information can then be used to determine where the greatest biodiversity benefit would be gained from revegetating a particular property.

Fencing will be required in most situations to protect revegetation, particularly if stock are to be grazed in nearby areas.

BEST PRACTICE REVEGETATION

NATURAL REGENERATION

Natural regeneration is far more efficient, effective and respectful of existing native vegetation. Natural regeneration will usually happen if remnant vegetation is nearby, even if it is skeletal and degraded. Given time and appropriate weed control, native species flourish and new species will appear, either germinating from the soil seedbank, as wind borne seed or via animal droppings. Planting of tubestock or direct seeding is rarely necessary and is usually an expression of our desire to put a human stamp on nature or to meet requirements of funding bodies that are limited to a 12 month cycle. It is impossible for humans to replicate the random arrangement of plants that occur in a natural system.

SECOND BEST PRACTICE REVEGETATION

PLANTING

Planting tubestock or direct seeding into remnant vegetation should be strongly discouraged. Such planting will compromise the integrity of the existing bushland. Efforts need to be directed towards encouraging natural regeneration using bush regeneration techniques. If, after 3 – 4 years of bush regeneration work there is little improvement in bushland condition, it may be appropriate for revegetation to be undertaken.

Revegetation must only occur in areas that have been ploughed or cropped or otherwise cleared in the past, with a minimum 20m buffer between revegetation any existing native vegetation.



Many people are unable to curb their desire for planting tubestock or direct seeding, even though native vegetation may be degraded or border the areas they wish to return to native vegetation. Degraded vegetation will respond better to bush regeneration techniques than revegetation. It is not the purpose of these guidelines to encourage second best practice methods, as such detailed information regarding timing of preparation, seed collection and propagation have not been supplied. These can be obtained from a number of sources including Project Officers and publications such as *What Seed Is That* by Neville Bonney.

Situations may arise where a landholder wishes to develop a *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland in an area that has been previously cropped and no native vegetation currently exists with the exception perhaps of a few paddock trees. If there is no native vegetation bordering the area it will be necessary to undertake revegetation. Such action should be viewed as a difficult task that may take many years to achieve. It may be wise to start with a small area or areas that can be easily managed without taking valuable time away from other activities, such as managing existing remnant vegetation. As these small sections of revegetation produce their own seed and begin to resemble a woodland they can be slowly expanded.

Before collecting seed it will be necessary to obtain a seed collecting permit from the Department for Environment and Heritage. Permission is also required from the landholder, which may be a private individual, local council (some reserves and roadsides) or other government agency.

All seed collected for planting must be collected from as close as possible to the planting site. A general guideline is within 5km. Commonsense needs to be exercised when applying this guideline, in some situations there may not be any native vegetation within 5km, in others it may only be 1km. The logical answer is to collect seed from as close as practical and from the nearest similar soil type and topographical area.

It should be remembered that the final structure of any planting for a *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodland will have trees, **on average**, 10 – 30m apart. Trees should not be regularly spaced and it is acceptable to have a few clumps of trees closer together.

It will be necessary to maintain all plantings with excess trees cut down or drilled and filled. Thinned trees should be left on site to break down naturally and provide additional habitat for wildlife.

Direct seeding

Mechanical direct seeding allows large areas to be revegetated, but requires large amounts of time to maintain. Mechanical direct seeding requires judicious thinning of juvenile plants within 2-3 years to ensure correct spacing between plants. Failure to do this will result in revegetation that will bear no resemblance to a woodland.

Mechanically direct seeded areas can be thinned by spraying with herbicide or the cut and swab method for greater refinement.

Tubestock

Tubestock allows greater control when trying to develop a particular structural arrangement of plants, such as required for a woodland.



Tubestock allows more difficult species to be grown. Smaller plants, such as grasses and daisies, will do best if planted in discrete clumps enabling higher likelihood of pollination and easier weed control in the future.

On the negative side, revegetating with tubestock can be laborious and time consuming and seedlings may be less likely to survive in a dry year.

SPECIES SUITABLE FOR PLANTING

Species listed below are for a general guide only. Recommendations from local experts should be sought to ensure species are appropriate for individual locations, soils and topography.

Tree Species

(Ensure that mature trees will be 10 – 30m apart)

<i>Eucalyptus fasciculosa</i>	pink gum
<i>Eucalyptus leucoxylon</i>	SA blue gum

Other tree species may be used as appropriate to mimic nearby native vegetation including species such as,

<i>Allocasuarina verticillata</i>	drooping sheoak
<i>Callitris gracilis</i>	southern cypress pine
<i>Pittosporum angustifolium</i> (formerly <i>Pittosporum phylliraeoides</i>)	native apricot

Shrub Species

<i>Acacia ligulata</i>	umbrella wattle
<i>Acacia pycnantha</i>	golden wattle
<i>Acacia spinescens</i>	spiny wattle
<i>Banksia marginata</i>	silver banksia
<i>Banksia ornata</i>	desert banksia (areas south east of Lake Alexandrina)
<i>Bursaria spinosa</i>	sweet bursaria
<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i>	sticky hop bush
<i>Eutaxia microphylla</i>	common eutaxia
<i>Melaleuca acuminata</i>	mallee honey myrtle

Understorey species

(Plant in clumps)

<i>Danthonia</i> spp.	wallaby grasses	<i>Arthropodium</i> spp.	vanilla lilies
<i>Enneapogon nigricans</i>	blackhead grass	<i>Bulbine bulbosa</i>	bulbine lily
<i>Stipa</i> spp.	spear grasses	<i>Clematis microphylla</i>	old man's beard
<i>Themeda triandra</i>	kangaroo grass	<i>Dianella</i> spp.	flax lilies
		<i>Kennedia prostrata</i>	running postman
		<i>Kunzea pomifera</i>	muntries
		<i>Lomandra</i> spp.	mat rushes
		<i>Vittadinia</i> spp.	New Holland daisies



PROPERTY ENHANCEMENT FOR NATIVE FAUNA

A range of native fauna have been found in the vicinity of *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands in one region of the project area. The appendices contain a list of fauna observed during the 2002 Nature Conservation Society of South Australia survey of the Eastern Mount Lofty Ranges.

Landholders can encourage or protect native fauna on their property by changing some their practices. The items listed below have been adapted from Bird Australia's Birds on Farms brochure (Barrett 2000) and include,

- Recreate or enhance local conditions
- Exclude high impact land uses near native vegetation.
- Native vegetation should cover large areas.
- Maintain a range of tree ages
- Leave fallen trees to break down naturally
- Maintain native vegetation around water

Recreate or enhance local conditions

This is best achieved by protecting existing native vegetation. Most native animals prefer original native vegetation; only a few species have been advantaged by land clearance and Western-style farming practices. These are now the over abundant native species that many people consider pests, such as certain kangaroo species, corellas and noisy miners. Our declining woodland birds must have native woodland habitat to survive.

Landholders can encourage the expansion of existing patches of native vegetation via natural regeneration or, if expanding into large areas, following the guidelines under the section titled Revegetation. Plants grown from local remnant seed sources must be used.

Exclude high impact land uses near native vegetation.

High impact uses such as ploughing, cropping, fertiliser application and frequent grazing should be excluded near native vegetation. These activities prevent natural regeneration, destroy habitat for wildlife, such as spiders, other invertebrates, lizards and birds and encourage exotic weeds that degrade native vegetation.

Native vegetation should cover large areas.

Native vegetation should be in blocks at least 10 hectares in size. If revegetation is undertaken it should aim to expand existing native vegetation to at least 10 hectares. Landholders wishing to provide links for wildlife should consider planting strips at least 50m wide. Narrow shelter belts and fenceline plantings tend to encourage over abundant species that are detrimental to declining species.

Maintain a range of tree ages

A range of tree ages is important to native wildlife and the continuation of native vegetation. Old mature trees often provide valuable nesting or roosting hollows for birds and bats. They tend to have large numbers of insects that can provide food for native animals. Younger plants are required to take over from the old trees when they finally die. Mature trees that are cropped and grazed around will not produce any offspring that will survive. Even single paddocks trees provide valuable habitat, but are not being replaced in many agricultural landscapes in



South Australia. Providing 0.5 to 1 hectare free of grazing around single paddock trees will ensure a range of tree ages is provided into the future.

Leave fallen trees to break down naturally

Leaving fallen trees and branches to break down naturally provides important habitat for a range of animals, including reptiles, birds and mammals such as echidnas. Insects and fungi thrive around fallen timber providing valuable food sources for native animals. Our desire to clean up messy areas has left many landscapes poorer for native animals. Trees or timber that falls near a dwelling or shed could be moved to another part of the property that contains native vegetation.

Do not use fallen trees as firewood, or at least leave half of it to break down naturally. If a landholder requires firewood they should consider planting specifically for it.

Maintain native vegetation around water

Native vegetation will improve the health of property features such as creeks and dams. Native vegetation also provides protected access to water for native birds and other animals. If dams are large and frequented by native waterfowl a landholder could consider building and vegetating a floating island to encourage the birds to breed where they will not be attacked by foxes or cats.



REFERENCES

- Barrett G. (2000). Birds on Farms. Ecological Management for Agricultural Sustainability. *Wingspan* vol. **10** (4) supplement.
- Berkinshaw, T. D (2003) *Native Vegetation of the Murray Region, South Australia* - Interactive PC CD-Rom 2003 Edition, , Mid Murray Local Action Planning Association
- Boomsma, C. D. & Lewis, N. B. (1980) *The Native Forest and Woodland Vegetation of South Australia: Bulletin 25*, Woods and Forests Department, Adelaide, South Australia.
- Croft S., Pedler J. and Milne T. (2005) *Bushland Condition Monitoring Manual: Southern Mount Lofty Ranges. Volume 1: Field Guide to Bushland Monitoring*. Nature Conservation Society of South Australia.
- Johnson R. (2003) *A Flora & Fauna Survey of Grassy Ecosystems in the Eastern Mount Lofty Ranges*. Nature Conservation Society of South Australia.
- Kenny S., Lock, E and Goodwins. (1999) *Western Murray Flats Floristic Vegetation Mapping (GIS)*, Environmental Database of SA (EGI, Department for Environment and Heritage).
- Kinnear S., and Gillen J., (1999) *Murray Mallee Floristic Vegetation Mapping (GIS)*, Environmental Database of SA (IDA Branch, Planning SA).
- McFadyen R. (2005) *Australia's Landscape Under Siege*. WeedCRC Weed Management Press Release January 5, 2005.
- Neagle N. (1995) *An Update of the Conservation of the Major Plant Associations of South Australia*. Native Vegetation Conservation Section, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Adelaide.
- Paton D.C., Prescott A.M., Davies R.J.P. and Heard L. M. (2000). *The distribution, status and threats to temperate woodlands in South Australia*. In *Temperate Eucalypt Woodlands in Australia: Biology, Conservation, Management and Restoration*. Surrey Beatty & Sons Pty Ltd, Chipping Norton, NSW.
- Possingham H. (2000). The Extinction Debt: The Future of Birds in the Mount Lofty Ranges. *Environment SA*. **8**. 1.
- Robertson E. L. (1999). *Restoration of Grassy Woodland. Watiparinga Reserve Management Plan*. National Trust of South Australia.
- Yates C. J. and Hobbs R. J. (2000). Temperate eucalypt woodlands in Australia – an overview. In *Temperate Eucalypt Woodlands in Australia*. Surrey Beatty & Sons Pty Ltd, NSW.

APPENDICES



MAJOR VEGETATION STRUCTURAL FORMATIONS IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA.

(Croft S., Pedler J. and Milne T (2005) Adapted from Specht 1972, The Vegetation of South Australia, 2nd ed., Government Printer, Adelaide.)

LIFE FORM/ HEIGHT CLASS	PROJECTED FOLIAGE COVER OF TALLEST STRATUM			
	Dense (70 – 100%)	Mid-dense (30 – 70%)	Sparse (10 – 30%)	Very Sparse (<10%)
Trees > 30m	Tall closed forest	Tall open forest	Tall woodland	Tall open woodland
Trees 10 – 30m	Closed forest	Open forest	Woodland	Open woodland
Trees 5 – 10m	Low closed forest	Low open forest	Low woodland	Low open woodland
Trees < 5m	Very low closed forest	Very low open forest	Very low woodland	Very low open woodland
Mallee > 3m	Closed mallee	Mallee	Open mallee	Very open mallee
Mallee < 3m	Closed low mallee	Low mallee	Open low mallee	Very open low mallee
Shrubs > 2m	Tall closed shrubland	Tall shrubland	Tall open shrubland	Tall very open shrubland
Shrubs 1 – 2m	Closed shrubland	Shrubland	Open shrubland	Very open shrubland
Shrubs < 1m	Low closed shrubland	Low shrubland	Low open shrubland	Low very open shrubland
Grasses	Closed grassland	Grassland	Open grassland	Very open grassland
Sedges	Closed sedgeland	Sedgeland	Open sedgeland	Very open sedgeland



FAUNA LIST FOR *EUCALYPTUS LEUCOXYLON* (SA BLUE GUM) AND *EUCALYPTUS FASCICULOSA* (PINK GUM) WOODLANDS

In 2002 the Nature Conservation Society of South Australia conducted a Flora and Fauna Survey of the Eastern Mount Lofty Ranges (Johnson 2003). The lists below were sourced from this survey and these species may be found in *Eucalyptus leucoxylon* (SA blue gum) and *Eucalyptus fasciculosa* (pink gum) woodlands.

MAMMALS

western grey kangaroo	<i>Macropus fuliginosus</i>
common brushtail possum	<i>Trichosurus vulpecula</i>
short beaked echidna	<i>Tachyglossus aculeatus</i>
southern freetail bat	<i>Mormopterus petersi</i>
white striped freetail bat	<i>Tadarida australis</i>
Gould's wattled bat	<i>Chalinolobus gouldii</i>
chocolate wattled bat	<i>Chalinolobus morio</i>
lesser long eared bat	<i>Nyctophilus geoffroyi</i>
large forest bat	<i>Vespadelus darlingtoni</i>
southern forest bat	<i>Vespadelus regulus</i>
small forest bat	<i>Vespadelus vulturinus</i>

*red fox
*brown hare
*European rabbit
*house mouse
*black rat

* denotes and introduced species.

BIRDS

wedge tailed eagle	grey fantail
black shouldered kite	Willie wagtail
spotted harrier	mistletoebird
singing bushlark	diamond firetail
dusky woodswallow	brown falcon
white backed magpie	nankeen kestrel
sulphur crested cockatoo	laughing kookaburra
galah	sacred kingfisher
little corella	welcome swallow
black faced cuckoo shrike	tree martin
white winged triller	superb fairy wren
brown tree creeper	red wattlebird
peaceful dove	spiny cheeked honeyeater
crested pigeon	white plumed honeyeater
common bronzewing	singing honeyeater
white winged chough	brown headed honeyeater
little raven	New Holland honeyeater
Horsfield's bronze cuckoo	Richard's pipit
pallid cuckoo	varied sittella
magpie lark	grey shrike thrush



rufous whistler
yellow rumped thornbill
yellow thornbill
chestnut rumped thornbill
southern whiteface
spotted pardalote
striated pardalote
weebill
hooded robin
Jacky winter

tawny frogmouth
white browed babbler
mallee ringneck
purpled crowned lorikeet
elegant parrot
blue bonnet
crimson rosella
red rumped parrot
brown songlark

*skylark
*European goldfinch
*common blackbird
*house sparrow
*common starling

* denotes and introduced species.

REPTILES

Flinder's worm lizard
marbled gecko
Adelaide snake lizard
eastern stone gecko
southern rock dtella
tree dtella
Bynoe's gecko
barking gecko
tawny dragon
eastern bearded dragon
eastern spotted ctenotus
eastern striped skink
three toed earless skink
four toed earless skink
Bougainville's skink
Southern four toed slider
spotted slider
dwarf skink
common snake eye
sleepy lizard
eastern blue tongue
eastern brown snake
little whip snake
Mitchell's short tailed snake

Aprasia striolata
Christinus marmoratus
Delma mollerii
Diplodactylus vittatus
Gehyra sp.
Gehyra variegata
Heteronotia binoei
Nephrurus milii
Ctenophorus decresii
Pogona barbata
Ctenotus orientalis
Ctenotus robustus
Hemiergus decresiensis
hemiergus peronii
Lerista bougainvillii
Lerista dorsalis
Lerista punctatovittata
Menetia greyii
Morethia boulengeri
Tiliqua ruogsa
Tiliqua scincoides
Pseudonaja textilis
Suta flagellum
Suta nigriceps

